Approved For Release 200 1310 5 ARD P79R00890A000100090012-4 tober 1953

TRIESTE PROBLEM

NTIAL

and Italian reaction to British-American proposal for five power talks on Trieste.

Within Yugoslavia a change of atmosphere has been noted following the presentation by the USSR of its note to the UN and its request that a Security Council meeting be called to implement provisions of Italian Peace Treaty for Trieste.

- 1. Yugoslavia was apparently embarrassed by the ostensible Soviet support for its position.
- 2. Mass demonstrations have been halted although United States
 Information Service Centers are still under attack.
- 3. Greater emphasis has been placed upon finding a solution of the Trieste problem by negotiation.

Despite this emphasis on negotiation Tito's rejection of the proposed agenda for holding western Foreign Ministers' proposed agenda for holding five power discussions dims prospects for fruitful negotiations.

1. He rejected the perposal on grounds that the terms of the DOCUMENT NO. NO CHANGE IN CLASS. LI

r Release 2001/98/31 :CIA RPP79R00890A000100090012-4

AUTI LEO REVIEW

REVIEWER: 372044

- 2. He asserted that the Western proposal to hold discussions
 "to ensure that the decision of 8 October is so applied as
 to bring about lasting peace on the Trieste issue" prejudged
 the outcome.
- 3. He agreed, however, that a five power conference including France was acceptable in principle.

In rejecting the Western proposal Tito outlined the probable Yugoslav position in any conference.

- 1. He suggested that Yugoslavia's position would be based on a "flexible" interpretation of his Leskovsac proposal on /0 October.
 - a. This called for Italian administration of the City of

 Trieste and Yugoslav administration of the remainder

 of the Free Territory for a 10-15 year period during

 which differences might be "mitigated."
- 2. He declared that Yugoslavia wants a public declaration by
 the three powers that they will not support further Italian
 territorial claims.
- 3. He stated that Yugoslavia should have a part of Zone A, Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R00890A000100090012-4

Approved For Release 2001 RDP79R00890A000100090012-4

which would "cost Italy nothing but be of greatest importance politically to Yugoslavia."

He emphasized that economic as well as ethnic considerations 4. must be taken into account in future discussions on territorial modifications.

indicated dissatisfaction with ejected the London conference proposals.

- An Italian Foreign Ministry representative stated on 18 1. October that Italy could attend a five power conference on Trieste only if:
 - Italy is previously in effective possession of Zone A a. and,
 - The talks start with discussion of a plebiscite to b. determine the future of the whole area.
- 25X6□ on 18 October Pella indicated 2. that Italy could attend the conference prior to taking over full military administration of Zone A if given the civil administration.
 - Apparently to prevent any retreat from the 8 October dec-З.

laration, Premier Pella on 15 October threatened to resign 79P00890A000100090012-4 Approved For Release 2001/0

Approved For Release 2001/09:11: SARIP79R00890A000100090012-4

if there was any indication that the declaration would be altered, but has reportedly postponed this step until after the 22 October cabinet meeting.

Publicly and privately Tito has emphasized that Yugoslavia has in no way modified its position on the 8 October decision.

- 1. He told American, British and French representatives on
 18 October that Yugoslavia will be obliged to send forces
 into Zone A if the decision is implemented.
- 2. He stated, however, that if Italian troops were brought in under cover of British-American occupation troops,

 Yugoslavia would fight;
 - a. He declared that his forces and Western troops must not fire on each other.
 - b. He emphasized that Italian entrance under these conditions would have a catastrophic reaction in Yugo-slavia and seriously threaten good relations with the West.

Borba, von 19 October, warned that Italian occupation of Zone

A "with or without troops is the same thing."

Approved For Release 2001/02/31: CIA PDP79R00890A000100090012-4

Approved For Release 20 1108/21 DP79R00890A000100090012-4

- 3. Yugoslav troops have been moved to the borders of Zone A.
 - a. Total number of Yugoslav troops on FTT perimeter cannot now be accurately estimated, but 30,000 would be
 a fair approximation exclusive of troops in Zone B.
 - b. Confirmed movements include:
 - (1) 1st Proletarian Division from Postojna (20 miles northeast of Trieste) to Tolmin-Gorizia area along Italian frontier.
 - (2) The Assault Division from Novo Mesto (105 miles northeast of Trieste) to Komen-Sezana-Divaca area along border Zone A.
 - c. Other probable movements, but not yet confirmed:
 - (1) 6th Proletarian Division from Karlovao (85 miles east of Trieste) westward.
 - (2) 8th Proletarian Brigade (6 Proletarian Division)
 from Delnice (50 miles southeast of Trieste) southwest.
 - (3) 10th Proletarian Brigade (6 Proletarian Division)

Approved For Release 2001/08/50: CIA-RDP79R00890A000100090012-4



- (4) 268th Tank Brigade (1st Armored Division) from

 Vrhnika (32 miles northeast of Trieste) to Kozina

 area along Zone B border.
- (5) 16th Rifle Brigade (XXIII Corps) from Kranj (50 miles northeast of Trieste) southwest.
- (6) Heavy Artillery Regiment (XXIII Corps) from Ribnica
 (45 miles east of Trieste) northwest.
- d. There is no confirmation that additional troop units have moved into Zone B.

Italian military move ments include:

25X1X

1. Up to 17 October

25X1X

no concern over Tito's threats of military intervention.

2. On 19 October

25X1X

25X1X

now believed the Yugoslavs might fight.

- 3. One Italian infantry regiment moved up to the border between Gorizia and Monfalcone on 17 October.
- 4. According to unconfirmed press reports, three divisions

Approved For Release 20010 Property P79R00890A000100090012-4
within 75 miles of the Yugoslav border were alerted at
the same time.

- 5. Some naval units have also reportedly been ordered to Venice.

 Soviet propaganda has been unusually reticent on Trieste issue.
 - 1. There has been no Soviet reaction to the Western Foreign
 Ministers' call on 18 October for a five power conference.
 - 2. The Soviet press has reiterated the official line that
 the Italian peace treaty outlines the correct solution of
 the Trieste dispute.
 - 3. Satellite comment similarly stresses the violation of the Italian peace treaty.
 - 4. The Soviet press has not printed any Yugoslav reaction nor beamed its comment to the Yugoslav audience.

Ambassador Bohlen attributes the Soviet press reticence to "a desire to avoid taking sides on the substance of the dispute."

1. He believes that the USSR is concerned at the violence of the Yugoslav reaction and wishes to "avoid inflaming situation" to the point of an Italian-Yugoslav conflict

"which would be difficult to confine."

Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R00890A000100090012-4

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/60 2011/07/9R00890A000100090012-4

- 2. Ambassador Bohlen sees a three-fold Soviet objective:
 - a. To forestall a settlement without the participation of the USSR.
 - b. To exploit/violation of Italian peace treaty.
 - c. To pose as champions of peace and security.

Italian Communist reaction to US-UK decision.

- 1. In spite of Togliatti's prior insistence on a Trieste plei cite, Italian Communist L'Unita stated that the "Soviet thesis is the only way to save Zone B."
- 2. Not completely abandoning nationalist line, L'Unita adds
 that the solution proposed by the USSR "would leave the
 door open to an intelligent Italian diplomatic initiative."



